# INTRADERMAL APPLICATION OF FMD VACCINES

Erwin van den Born

MSD Animal Health

# Background intradermal vaccination

MSD
Animal Health

# Schematic representation of the skin






MSD Animal Health

# Intramuscular (IM) vaccination

Intramuscular






Unused needle




Needle used once




Needle used twice




Needle used 12 times



MSD Animal Health

# Intradermal (ID) vaccination

Intradermal
with needle



Intradermal with
Jet Injector (IDAL)



MSD Animal Health

# Processing of antigen in the skin



# Human intradermal vaccines

- Mostly inactivated antigen (e.g. Hepatitis B, Influenza, Rabies)
- Antigen dose for ID 5-10x lower than for IM, but similar efficacy
- Many vaccines do not contain adjuvants.
- Local reactions ID often higher than for IM administration, but mostly mild and transient



MSD Animal Health

# The history of ID vaccination in MSD Animal Health

- Two decades of experience
- Low-volume 0.2-mL dose administered intradermally with the needle-free IDAL® System*
- First products developed for live virus vaccines
  - Porcilis® PRRS
  - Porcilis® Begonia
- Followed by inactivated vaccines:
  - Porcilis® M Hyo ID ONCE
  - Porcilis® PCV ID



* IntraDermal Application of Liquids

# 10 Reasons to go for an intradermal vaccination of pigs

1. Powerful antigen-presenting cells in the epidermis cause an induction of a broad range of immune responses
2. Potential to overcome pre-existing immunity because (maternal) antibodies are not located in the skin
3. Less systemic adverse events because of minimal invasiveness
4. Faster and more convenient than IM administration
5. Limits transmission of pathogens caused by reuse of needles
6. No risk of needle-stick injuries of the farmer/vet
7. Potentially less antigen needed
8. Less stress and pain for the animals
9. Food quality improvement by avoiding muscle lesions, broken needles, infections/abcesses
10. No IM injection in neck muscle, which is most important meet in South-Korea

MSD Animal Health

# Published work by Lelystad group

Vaccine 27 (2009) 1272–1278

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Vaccine

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/vaccine


## Intradermal vaccination of pigs against FMD with 1/10 dose results in comparable vaccine efficacy as intramuscular vaccination with a full dose

P.L. Eblé*, K. Weerdmeester, F. van Hemert-Kluitenberg, A. Dekker

*Central Veterinary Institute of Wageningen UR (CVI), P.O. Box 65, 8200 AB Lelystad, The Netherlands*

- FMDV O/TAW/3/97 vaccination and challenge
- Double-oil-in-water emulsion (DOE) vaccines
- IDAL vaccinated
- Pigs (~6 wks old)



MSD Animal Health

# Published work by Lelystad group

| Trial | Group | Route | Dose (µg)* | VN | Protection |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 (n=3) | 1 | - | - | <0.3 | 0 |
| | 2 | IM | 3 | 2.5 | 100 |
| | 3 | ID | 0.3 | 1.7 | 100 |
| | 4 | ID | 3 | 1.3 | 66 |
| 2 (n=5) | 1 | - | - | <0.3 | 0 |
| | 2 | IM | 3 | 2.1 | 100 |
| | 3 | IM | 30 | 2.3 | 100 |
| | 4 | ID | 0.3 | 1.7 | 100 |
| | 5 | ID | 3 | 1.4 | 80 |
| 3 (n=5) | 1 | - | - | <0.3 | 0 |
| | 2 | IM | 3 | 2.3 | 100 |
| | 3 | IM | 0.3 | 1.8 | 100 |
| | 4 | IM | 4x 0.3 | 2.1 | 100 |
| | 5 | ID | 0.3 | 2.2 | 100 |
| | 6 | ID | 4x 0.3 | 2.2 | 100 |

∗ 3 µg is standard dose



MSD Animal Health

# Development of an intradermal vaccine for pigs against FMDV

MSD Animal Health

# Going from IM to ID vaccination is not that straightforward...

**Adjuvant**

**Antigen concentration**

**Local reactions**

**0.2 ml**

**Dose**

**Efficacy**



MSD Animal Health

# Animal trial to select adjuvant for FMD ID vaccine

- Pigs (~6 wks old)
- FMDV A22 standard dose
- ID with IDAL
- Adjuvants selected based on previous experience with ID vaccination

| Group | Route | Adjuvant | Adjuvant type | Maximum space available in water phase for antigens (% v/v) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **1** | ID (0.2 ml) | A | water-in-oil | 39 |
| **2** | | B | water-in-oil | 41 |
| **3** | | C | oil-in-water | 79 |
| **4** | | D | oil-in-water | 51 |
| **5** | | E | oil-in-water | 49 |
| **6** | | F | oil-in-water | 50 |
| **7** | | G | water-in-oil | 37 |
| **8** | | H | water-in-oil | 14 |
| **9** | | I | real double oil emulsion | 28 |
| **10** | | J | reversed double oil emulsion | 46 |
| **11** | IM (2 ml) | J | reversed double oil emulsion | 46 |



MSD Animal Health

# Animal trial to select adjuvant for FMD ID vaccine




→ *Cannot take standard IM vaccine and use ID*



MSD Animal Health

# Animal trial to check efficacy of FMD ID vaccines






MSD Animal Health

# Animal trial to check efficacy of FMD ID vaccine (A22)

None of the ID groups were fully protected (max 75%)






MSD Animal Health

# Summary & Future

MSD
Animal Health

# Summary & Future – FMD ID vaccines

- ID vaccines for pigs have several benefits like less stress/pain and increased hygiene, and it is safer and faster.
- Our work demonstrates that several adjuvants can induce higher VN titres in pigs than a typical DOE formulation.
- Published work suggests that for ID vaccines the antigen payload per dose can be lower.
- ID vaccines containing a standard payload formulated with several adjuvants showed suboptimal protection.
- Clearly, there is still uncertainty about efficacy of ID vaccines, and several questions remain to be addressed, e.g.:
  - Is a lower antigen payload more protective?
  - Will different adjuvants improve efficacy?
  - Do VN titers predict protective capacity of ID vaccines?



MSD Animal Health

# Acknowledgements



CSIRO, Australia
Jacquelyn Horsington
Wilna Vosloo


NCFAD, Canada
Charles Nfon


MSD Animal Health, Netherlands
Alexandra Jiménez-Melsió
Holger Hönemann
Guntram Paul
Erwin van den Born




MSD Animal Health